INSTITUTIONAL POLICY AND PLANNING COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES September 22, 2017 10:30 a.m.

PRESENT: Mary Lou Bates; Cerri A. Banks; Erica Bastresskehart Grace Burton, Paul Calhoun; Greg Gerbi; Tim Harper; Lisa Hobbsames Kennell, Wice Chair, Kris Leggiero; Eric Morser; Levi Rogers; Max Fleischman '19 (for Kira Geary '18); Joseph Stankovich; Kim Verstandig Michael D. Westand Joshua @Voodfork.

PRESENT BY PHONE: Philip A. Glotzbach, Chair

ABSENT: Beau Breslinand Bill Duffy.

Called to order at 100 AM.

1. Approval of Meeting Minutes IPPC approved the minutes from the September 8, 2017 meeting.

TED Talk: J. [(J. [w 1 Tc aW7 1 Tm ()T0 "Wh>BDC ou TJ 0.002 Tc -0.002 Tw 1.42 0 Td [(v)2 (e)6
3. IPPC Annual Report 20162017

Joshua Woodfork, ke President for Strateg Ranning and Institutional Diversity eminded the committee that this report reflects IPPC business from the last academic year and was pr by Tim Harper, 20162017 IPPC Vice Chairand IPPC Chair President Glotzbach. Joshua indicated that the reports information only, and does not requendorsement by the current IPPC.

Linda Hall, Associate Professor of English, submitted a letter to the IPPC requesting the committee follow up in finalizing the Smoking Policy. Copies of the letter werebulised to all members of their review; however, further discussion was deferred forture meeting at which time the Smoking Pricy will be addressed by the IPPC.

4. Strategic Action Agenda(SAA) 20172018

Jim Kennelly, Vice Chair, referenced the SAA and reminded members/theat they reviewed the draft SAA duringheir last meetingthey had asked Phil to consider edits to the "preamble section regarding free speech. -**Cb**air of CEPP Erize BastressDukehart offered her appreciation fothequick andth

Jim called for an IPPC endorsement of SAA. By motion made and seconded, the IPPC endorsed the SAA by unanimous vote.

5. Center for Integrated Sciences (CIS) Motions

Kelly SheppardCIS Faculty Coordinator, joined the committee for this discussion.

Phil reported that the Board of Trustees hand and conversation regarding the CIS during their recent phone conference. Trustees reviewed the same CIS documents previously reviewed by the IPPC. They agreed thative constraints on funding, it makes sense to proceed with that portion of the building that is fully fundent this time During the Board call, Beau Breslin, Vice President for Academic Affairs and Dean of Faculty, and Jon Achenbaum, Chair of the Budget and Finance/Infrastructure Committee declared their full endorsement of this plan and the resolutions presented Phil read the following motions which he reported were unanimously approved during the Board of Trustees conference call:

RESOLVED: That the Joint Infrastructure and Budget & Finance and Infrastructure Committee recommends to the Board of Trustees approval of the overall plan and program for the Center for Integrated Sciences as funding is available.

RESOLVED: That the Joint Infrastructure d Budget & Finance and Infrastructure Committee recommends to the Board of Trustees approval of the Center for Integrated Sciences North Wing in an amount not to exceed \$67.5 million to be funded by gifts, operating funds, and debt.

Phil asked the PPC to endorsthe resolutions, and opened the floor for questizents answers (Q&A).

Q What is the order of the phasing?

A First to complete newconstruction entirely and then complete renovations do and new Dana.

Q Is it likely that the fundswill come in during the construction of the first phase or very soon after, or is it anticipated that there will there be a **toosi**x-year lapse between phases? A Accurate forecasting of fundraising is not entipedessible, but the College is working hard to set aside the funds. At this times not anticipated that this will stretchut across four or more years, and it remains our number ions etutional priority.

Q Will breaking ground boost fundraising efforts?

A Traditionally the start of construction on a project does not boost fundraising.e**Bot**ts in this case, since we are clearly just beginning the first phase of a very large project, we anticipate that fundraising will not be negatively affected and, in **factuls** be enhanced.

Q What is the maximum debt that college is willing to take on and dross this impact our credit rating?

A \$35 million ismaximumat this moment. The debt should not negatively affect our credit rating at all.

Q How do we ensure theorem unity that this debt won't impact jobs, the GSA, etc.?

A Leadership agreed that none of those items, GSA, financial aid, etc. would be adjusted. The financial plan for CIS does not include adjustments to these operational items. However, it will impact all other capital projects.